Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 114 (1976) 119–125 © Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

# THE THERMAL DECOMPOSITION OF DICYCLOPENTADIENYL-DIMETHYLTITANIUM(IV)

G.J. ERSKINE \*, D.A. WILSON \*\*, and J.D. McCOWAN Department of Chemistry, Queen's University, Kingston (Canada) (Received January 27th, 1976)

### Summary

Thermal decomposition of  $(C_5H_5)_2Ti(CH_3)_2$  in alkane or benzene solution yields primarily methane, with only traces of ethane. Methane is produced by hydrogen abstraction from both the cyclopentadienyl rings and from the methyl groups, but never from the alkanes or benzene. A scheme of decomposition is suggested involving two paths, each with a two stage formation of methanes. A very much slower ethane formation competes with the second stage. Strong evidence exists for slow hydrogen exchange between the cyclopentadienyl rings and the methyl groups prior to significant production of ethane. Decomposition in diethyl ether yields methane, much of it arising through hydrogen abstraction from the ether. Decomposition in  $CCl_4$  and  $C_2Cl_4$  yields mainly methane but also some methyl chloride and ethane. Both diethyl ether and  $C_2Cl_4$  almost block methane formation through ring attack.

## Introduction

Only brief references have appeared [1-3] to the thermal decomposition of dicyclopentadienyldimethyltitanium(IV) although there have been several studies of dicyclopentadienyldiphenyltitanium(IV) [1-7] and of dicyclopentadienyldibenzyltitanium(IV) [6-9]. This paper reports a preliminary study of the thermal decomposition of  $(C_5H_5)_2Ti(CH_3)_2$  and compares the findings with those reported for related compounds. Emphasis is on the role of the solvent.

## Experimental

### (a) Preparation of materials

All stages of the preparation were carried out either within a glove box or within a vacuum rock as described previously [10], although the product com-

- \* Present address: Department of Chemistry, John Abbott College, Ste. Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec, Canada.
- \*\* Present address: Research Laboratories, Dow Chemicals of Canada Ltd., Sarnia, Ontario, Canada.

pound is not air sensitive and was handled in the open atmosphere once prepared and purified. Starting materials were purchased in pure grades and purified as necessary. Solvents were dried, distilled under vacuum and stored in the dry box before nitrogen was admitted.  $(C_5H_5)_2TiCl_2$  and solutions of the organolithium compound were used as purchased.

 $(C_5D_5)_2$ TiCl<sub>2</sub> was prepared by the method of Martin et al. [11,12] with some variations. 2-methylallylmagnesium chloride was used rather than the crotyl, ether was removed and cyclohexane added without filtering to remove magnesium chloride, and D<sub>2</sub> was used to generate the hydride. The cyclopentadienyl rings in the final product were 30% deuterated.

 $(C_5H_5)_2Ti(CH_3)_2$  was prepared by a modification of the method of Clauss and Bestian [13]. Two dropping funnels were charged in the glove box, one with 25 ml of a 1.0 *M* solution of methyllithium in diethyl ether and the other with 50 ml of diethyl ether. A three neck, 150 ml flask containing a stirrer bar was charged outside the box with 2.87 g (0.115 mol) of  $(C_5H_5)_2TiCl_2$ . The dropping funnels were attached and the flask evacuated up to the funnel stopcock. The flask was maintained at 10°C and shielded from light while first the ether, then the methyllithium solution, were slowly added with stirring. The resulting orange-brown solution was allowed to stir for 20 min. Decomposition of excess methyllithium by adding ice/water created two liquid layers. The ether layer was separated and the orange product obtained by evaporating the solvent under vacuum. These crystals were then recrystallized from n-pentane. Orange needles in 80-85% yield were obtained. The material was stored in the dark at 255 K prior to use.

### (b) Thermal decomposition studies

120

The standard vessel for decomposition studies in solution was a simple glass tube sealed by a stopcock and fitted with a taper joint. The solution in question was injected into the tube, and the tube evacuated while the solution was frozen at liquid nitrogen temperatures. The stopcock was closed, and the contents warmed to whatever temperature was required. For decomposition in the solid state, the same simple tube described above was used. Some studies were also conducted using break-seal tubes.

Gas-liquid chromatography and NMR spectrometry were used to identify products and mass spectrometry to determine deuterium content. For mass spectrometry, accurate determination of isotopic distribution for a given chemical species was enhanced by taking successive samples at approximately 80, 200 and 273 K. Instrumentation utilized involved a Bruker HX-60 for NMR spectrometry, A.E.I. MS-10 for mass spectrometry, Beckman IR-10 and Perkin-Elmer 180 for IR spectrometry and a Hewlett-Packard 5750 for gas-liquid chromatography.

# **Results and discussion**

Data on the volatile products of thermal decomposition are summarized in Table 1.

## (a) Decompositions in benzene and in alkanes

Experiments 11 to 16 demonstrate that hydrogen atoms originally present in

lumber f experiment	Physical state or solvent	Initial concentration (mol l <sup>-1</sup> )	Atmosphere	Temperature (IK)	Time (h)	Volatile products
1) (C <sub>5</sub> II <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> 'Fi(CI	1 <sub>3</sub> )2 Solid		Volume	076	QQC	H US CONTRACTOR
-1 0			Vacuum	340	062	CH4; trace of C2H6
	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	0,20	Solvent vapour	340	290	CH4; very small amount of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>
ຕີ	$c_6 D_6$	0.20	Solvent vapour	- 340	50	CH4; very small amount of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>
4 a	$C_{6}H_{14}$	0.17	Solvent vapour	363	£	$CH_4$ ( $CH_4/Ti = 1.6$ )
5	C <sub>6</sub> D <sub>14</sub>	<0,1	Solvent vapour	340	290	CH4; trace of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>
<i>q</i> 9	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>12</sub>	6	c-	6-	¢-	$CH_4(CH_4 : Ti = 1.5)$
-	(C <sub>2</sub> D <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> O	0,15	Solvent vapour	310	70	Methane (CH <sub>4</sub> /CH <sub>3</sub> D 100 : 87)
8 8	C4H8O	0,18	Solvent vapour	363	ъ	$CH_4$ ( $CH_4/T' = 1.5$ )
. 6	C2C14	0.20	Solvent vapour	340	290	CH4; ethane and methyl chloride
						not determined
0	cc14	0.20	Solvent vapour	340	290	CH4; small amounts of CH3Cl and
						C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>
) (CSDS) 271(C)	113)2 C					
-	Solid	ł	Vacuum	340	50	Methane (CH <sub>4</sub> /CH <sub>3</sub> D/CH <sub>2</sub> D <sub>2</sub>
						100 : 34 : 3); ethane not determined.
2	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	0.20	Solvent vapour	340	50	Methane; very small amounts of
						ethane (CH4/CH3D/CH2D2/CHD3 100 · 93 · 9 · 12220)
						100:90:80:20)
	$c_6 D_6$	0.20	Solvent vapour	340	50	Methane; very small amounts of
						ethane (CH <sub>4</sub> /CH <sub>3</sub> D/CH <sub>2</sub> D <sub>2</sub> /CHD <sub>3</sub>
						100:25:1:trace)
						(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> D/C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> D <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>3</sub> D <sub>3</sub> 100 : 70 : 50 : 90)
4	CeH14	<0,1	Solvent vapour	340	60	Methane: trace of othene
			•			$(CH_4/CH_3D/CH_2D_2/CHD_3)$
						100 : 23 : 1 : trace)
ß	(C2H5)2O	0.20	Solvent vapour	310	70	Methane; trace of ethane
	i				1	$(CH_4/CH_3D 100:1)$
Q	C2C14	0,20	Solvent vapour	340	00	Methane; very small amounts of
						ethane and methyl chloride

 $^a$  Ref. 2.  $^b$  Ref. 3.  $^c$  Cyclopentadienyl rings were 30% deuterated.

.

the cyclopentadienyl rings are eventually found in the methane. However the deuterium content is significantly lower than that in the rings, so that ring attack is not the only mechanism operating. The absence of  $CH_3D$  among the products in experiments 3 and 5, and the similarity of the products in experiments 12 and 13, suggest that neither benzene nor alkanes provide a source of hydrogen, further evidence against the free radical mechanism favoured by early investigations [1,2]. Other methyl groups must therefore supply some of the hydrogen.

Glivicky [6] proposed two schemes consistent with these data for the decomposition of  $(C_5H_5)_2\text{TiR}_2$ , and Boekel et al. [7], in a careful study, showed that both schemes operate, and are of comparable importance, for the case where R = phenyl. The two schemes, expressed for R = methyl, are shown below. A step for the formation of ethane is added, in accordance with the data reported here.

SCHEME 1

$$(C_{5}H_{5})_{2}Ti(CH_{3})_{2} \xrightarrow{(1)} (C_{5}H_{5})(C_{5}H_{4})Ti(CH_{3}) + CH_{4}$$

$$(2a) \qquad (2b)$$

$$(C_{5}H_{2})_{2}Ti + CH_{4} \xrightarrow{(2b)} (C_{5}H_{5})(C_{5}H_{4})Ti + \frac{1}{2}C_{2}H_{6}$$

SCHEME 2

$$(C_{5}H_{5})_{2}Ti(CH_{3})_{2} \xrightarrow{(3)} (C_{5}H_{5})_{2}Ti(CH_{2}) + CH_{4}$$

$$\downarrow (4)$$

$$(C_{5}H_{5})(C_{5}H_{4})Ti(CH_{3})$$

$$\stackrel{(5a)}{(5b)}$$

$$(C_{5}H_{4})_{2}Ti + CH_{4} \xrightarrow{(5b)} (C_{5}H_{5})(C_{5}H_{4})Ti + \frac{1}{2}C_{2}H_{6}$$

Table 2 summarizes the isotopic distributions expected in the products of each part of the two schemes. These calculated values are based on a model in which each molecule of  $(C_5H_5)_2$ Ti $(CH_3)_2$  has, originally, the average isotopic distribution, three deuteriums among the ten hydrogens on the rings.

Table 3 shows the final distribution expected from various combinations of the steps shown in Table 2. Many variations could be postulated, such as varying relative importance of Schemes 1 and 2, or varying degrees of completion of the methane producing steps in each scheme. Such variations do not affect the following comments.

Methane in experiments 11, 12, 13 and 14 contains too little  $CH_3D$  for Scheme 1 (Table 3, line 1) and too much for Scheme 2 (Table 3, line 3) but agree well with a postulate that the two are comparably important (Table 3, line 5). Neither Scheme, however, can explain the observed distribution in ethane without an additional hypothesis. Ethane has not been observed previously [3] and is present in minute quantities. It has an exceptionally high deuterium content, including substantial amounts of  $C_2H_3D_3$  and  $C_2H_2D_4$ . These last materials cannot arise by either reactions 2b or 5b.

It is suggested that significant exchanges of proton occurs between methyl

122

#### TABLE 2

DISTRIBUTION OF ISOTOPES IN PRODUCTS OF EQUATIONS 1 TO 5 (SCHEMES 1 AND 2) FOR MATERIAL USED

#### **Reaction product distribution**

st step process	25	
Methane	CH <sub>4</sub> /CH <sub>3</sub> D 100 : 43	
Methane	CH <sub>4</sub> 100	
ond step proc	esses assuming no scrambling	
Methane	$CH_4/CH_3D$ 100 : 43	
Ethane	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> 100	
Methane	$CH_4/CH_3D/CH_2D_2$ 100 : 85 : 18	
Ethane	$C_2H_6/C_2H_5D/C_2H_4D_2$ 100 : 85 : 18	
ond step proce	esses assuming total scrambling after initial process	
Methane	$CH_4/CH_3D/CH_2D_2/CHD_3$ 58 : 100 : 35 : 2	
Ethane	$C_2H_6/C_2H_5D/C_2H_4D_2/C_2H_3D_3$ 45 : 100 : 76 : 23	
Methane	CH <sub>4</sub> /CH <sub>3</sub> D/CH <sub>2</sub> D <sub>2</sub> /CHD <sub>3</sub> 50:100:43:4	
Ethane	$C_2H_6/C_2H_5D/C_2H_4D_2/C_2H_3D_3$ 39 : 100 : 89 : 33	
	st step process Methane Methane Ethane Ethane Ethane Ond step proce Methane Ethane Ethane Ethane Ethane Ethane Ethane	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

groups and cyclopentadienyl rings. Exchange between ring hydrogens and hydride hydrogens has already been established for the species  $(C_5H_5)(C_5H_4)$ TiH [14], which also exchanges hydrogens with  $D_2$ .

Separate experiments indicate that such exchange does not occur in  $(C_5H_5)_2$ - $Ti(CH_3)_2$  prior to decomposition. Material with partially deuterated rings was stored at 255 K for four weeks and at ambient temperature (both as a solid and in a benzene solution) for an hour before being treated with aqueous HCl. The resulting methane contained no deuterium. Similarly infrared spectra of material stored over even longer periods show no evidence of deuterium in the methyl groups. It is therefore believed that exchange occurs subsequent to decomposition beginning (i.e. subsequent to processes 1 and/or 3). This evidence also implies that no ethane is formed in a process simultaneous with reactions 1 or 3. Since there is no hydrogen exchange in the undecomposed material, such a process could produce  $C_2H_6$  only. The high proportion of deuterium in the ethane shows that most, and probably all, must be formed subsequent to exchange and therefore subsequent to processes 1 and 3. On the other hand, most of the methane formed in the second stage (processes 2a and/or 5a) must be formed prior to exchange. Table 3, lines 2, 4 and 6, show that a much higher level of  $CH_2D_2$  would otherwise be observed.

### TABLE 3

DISTRIBUTION OF ISOTOPES IN METHANE ARISING FROM VARIOUS POSSIBILITIES

CH4/CH3D/CH2D2/CHD3

Process 1 complete, process 2a to 50% completion, 100:43:0:0Process 1 complete, process 2a' to 50% completion, 100:66:11:1Process 3 complete, process 5a to 50% completion, 100:17:3Process 3 complete, process 5a' to 50% completion, 100:22:10:1First and third above proceeding equally, 100:29:2Second and fourth above proceeding equally, 100:41:10:1

## (b) Decomposition in diethyl ether

124

Whereas there is no evidence of direct participation of alkanes or benzene in the decomposition processes, experiment 7 shows that almost half the methane produced involves abstraction of a hydrogen from the solvent. Moreover experiment 15 shows that with ether present, little methane is produced by attack on the cyclopentadienyl rings.

## (c) Decomposition in $CCl_4$ and $C_2Cl_4$

Brief examination of decomposition in these solvents showed that they exhibit similar behaviour. Methane is by far the most abundant volatile product but methyl chloride and ethane appear in small amounts. Whereas in alkanes or benzene, the resulting solution is green (when viewed against strong light) and the product solid a green-grey precipitate as described by Bercaw et al. [14],  $(C_5H_5)_2\text{TiCl}_2$  and  $(C_5H_5)\text{TiCl}_3$  are the major products in these solvents. Experiment 16 shows that  $C_2\text{Cl}_4$ , like ether, blocks abstraction of hydrogen from the rings. This is consistent with the observed nature of the product solid.

## Comparison with related systems

Thermal decomposition of alkyl-transition metal compounds has been explained, for alkyls having a hydrogen on a carbon  $\beta$  to the metal, in terms of an olefin/hydride intermediate arising from the abstraction of a " $\beta$ -hydrogen" as established by De Vries [15]. Such an intermediate has been proven convincingly in only a few cases, such as di-n-butylbis(triphenylphosphine)platinum(II) [16] but there is considerable consistent evidence for many compounds. A somewhat analogous intermediate, involving an *ortho*-phenyl position, has been put forward for (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Ti(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [17].

For methyl compounds,  $\alpha$ -elimination via a carbene/hydride intermediate has been proposed for methylchromium(III) compounds [18,19], (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>TiCl<sub>2</sub> [20] and (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>W(PR<sub>3</sub>)(CH<sub>3</sub>) [21]. For (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Ti(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, it can only be said that the evidence is not inconsistent with an intermediate hydride arising either from the rings (Scheme 1) or from a methyl (Scheme 2). Conditions which favour hydride formation lead to rapid alkane elimination. Introduction of hydrogen gas results in the facile and quantitative elimation of 2 CH<sub>4</sub>.

If reversible hydride formation occurs in  $(C_5H_5)_2$ Ti $(CH_3)_2$ , involving the formation of  $C_5H_4$  (Scheme 1) or  $CH_2$  (Scheme 2), it can be seen that this process would lead to hydrogen exchange in the reduced compound resulting from processes 1 or 3, since the other potential hydrogen accepting group would be present in each case. This would account for the highly deuterated ethane obtained. The results imply, however, that the exchange process is slow compared to processes 2a and 5a but rapid compared to processes 2b and 5b.

The attack on ethers has been observed for both  $CH_3TiCl_3$  [20] and  $(C_5H_5)_2$ Ti $(C_6H_5)_2$  [7]. For both, it has been suggested [20,23] that abstraction follows coordination, with the additional suggestion, in the latter case, that coordination occurs only to a reduced species formed through an analogue of either Scheme 1 or Scheme 2. In the case of  $(C_5H_5)_2Ti(CH_3)_2$ , however, the absence of  $CH_3D$  indicates that ether blocks processes 1 and 4. This suggests that ether is coordinated from the beginning, blocking the necessary rearrangement of the ring. Decomposition in CCl<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub> produced some CH<sub>3</sub>Cl. Although this is analogous to the production of C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Cl from (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Ti(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in CCl<sub>4</sub> [7], and of CH<sub>3</sub>Cl from CH<sub>3</sub>TiCl<sub>3</sub> in both solvents [20,22], the proportion of RCl to RH is very much lower in the case of (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Ti(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.

### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the National Research Council and Queen's University for financial support and to Drs. B.K. Hunter and J.A. Stone for equipment and much kind assistance.

### References

- 1 G.A. Razuvaev, V.N. Latyaeva and L.I. Vyshinskaya, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 159 (1964) 383.
- 2 G.A. Razuvaev, V.N. Latyaeva, L.I. Vyshinskaya and G.A. Kilyakova, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 36 (1966) 1491.
- 3 P.C. Wailes, R.S.P. Coutts and H. Weigold, Organometallic Chemistry of Titanium, Zirconium and Hafnium, Academic Press, New York, 1974, p. 236.
- 4 L. Summers, R.H. Uloth and A. Holmes, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 77 (1955) 3604.
- 5 J. Dvorak, R.J. O'Brien and W. Santo, Chem. Commun., (1970) 411.
- 6 A.P. Glivicky, Ph.D. Thesis, Queen's University, 1972.
- 7 C.P. Boekel, J.H. Teuben and H.J. de Liefde Meijer, J. Organometal. Chem., 81 (1974) 371.
- 8 G.A. Razuvaev, V.N. Latyaeva and L.I. Vyshinskaya, Dokl. Chem., 189 (1969) 103.
- 9 G. Fachinetti and C. Floriani, Chem. Commun., (1972) 654.
- 10 A.P. Glivicky and J.D. McCowan, Can. J. Chem., 51 (1973) 2609.
- 11 H.A. Martin and F. Jellinek, J. Organometal. Chem., 8 (1967) 115.
- 12 H.A. Martin, M. van Gorkom and R.O. de Jongh, J. Organometal. Chem., 36 (1972) 93.
- 13 K. Clauss and H. Bestian, Ann. Chem., 654 (1962) 8.
- 14 J.E. Bercaw, R.H. Marvich, L.G. Bell and H.H. Brintzinger, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94 (1972) 1219.
- 15 H. de Vries, Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 80 (1961) 866.
- 16 G.M. Whitesides, J.F. Gaasch and E.R. Stredonsky, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94 (1972) 5258.
- 17 J. Dvorak, R.J. O'Brien and W. Santo, Chem. Commun., (1970) 411.
- 18 M. Michmann and H.H. Zeiss, J. Organometal. Chem., 13 (1968) P23.
- 19 J.R.C. Light and H.H. Zeiss, J. Organometal. Chem., 21 (1970) 391.
- 20 J.D. McCowan, Can. J. Chem., 51 (1973) 1083.
- 21 N.J. Cooper and M.L.H. Green, Chem. Commun., (1974) 761.
- 22 J.D. McCowan and J.F. Hanlan, Can. J. Chem., 50 (1972) 755.
- 23 C.P. Boekel, J.H. Teuben and H.J. de Liefde Meijer, J. Organometal. Chem., 102 (1975) 161.